



Rick Scott &lt;rick.scott@lacity.org&gt;

---

**Re: final SPlI database**

---

Tara Devine &lt;tara@devine-strategies.com&gt;

Tue, Jan 6, 2015 at 11:24 AM

To: Garen Yegparian &lt;garen.yegparian@lacity.org&gt;, Rick Scott &lt;Rick.Scott@lacity.org&gt;

Cc: Ed Henning &lt;mred2@earthlink.net&gt;

Garen --

Attached please find the South Park dbase with the following changes:

- 1) Single column totals for building, lot and frontage (old columns hidden) - per your request
- 2) We added a new APN column that shows the APNs without dashes (this is a change we were told about after we originally submitted this dbase, but we took this opportunity to correct it.)
- 3) We have deleted ONE parcel: 5133-010-002. We recently discovered this error. This parcel already lies wholly within the LA Fashion District. The error occurred primarily because we used LA Fashion District's map for visual reference when we first drew our boundaries. Their boundary (as drawn) for this parcel is confusing - it basically looks like they took half of the parcel and left half out. But we double-checked their database/MDP and the parcel's lot/bldg/frontage and discovered that the entire parcel IS within the LAFD BID/fully assessed. As a result, we have removed it from our database. It was a small parcel/assessment, but you will notice the drop in the budget of \$1,330. This is entirely due to the removal of this single APN.

Rick --

I am sending this to you so you will be aware of the single parcel removal. We have corrected it in the dbase and will correct this in the MDP/ER when we receive and incorporate your first round of edits. Ed and I are aware that this will result in an update to all the numbers in the MDP and ER. Annoying - we apologize - but better to catch now than later (especially for the property owner who might otherwise have been double-assessed.)

Warmest regards,  
Tara

On Tue, Dec 30, 2014 at 8:06 AM, Garen Yegparian &lt;garen.yegparian@lacity.org&gt; wrote:

Apologies, Tara, I somehow missed your note.

Yes, that's correct, there should be in the final database one column each for building, frontage, and parcel measurements. For clarity, the basis of any part of your assessment formula should be compact and easily recognizable.

Garen

On Fri, Dec 26, 2014 at 4:28 PM, Tara Devine &lt;tara@devine-strategies.com&gt; wrote:

Garen:

You may be out for the holidays, but I wanted to follow up since I did not see a response to my Dec 18 question below. We'd like to fulfill your request asap, but want to be sure we understand the change you are requesting. If my assessment below is correct, I can turn that around for you in just a day or two, despite the holidays.

Happy New Year!

Tara

Warmest regards,

**TARA DEVINE**  
DEVINE STRATEGIES  
645 West Ninth Street, #110-293  
Los Angeles, CA 90015  
310.430.5121

[tara@devine-strategies.com](mailto:tara@devine-strategies.com)

Making it easier for you with STRATEGIC CONSULTING SERVICES

*Political - Legislative - Economic Development - Planning & Entitlements - Community Outreach - Business Improvement Districts*



**2015.01.06 SP II database v5 - deletes LAFD parcel.xlsx**

96K